

Inspection Report

8 June 2023



Positive Futures Lakeland Supported Living Service

Type of service: Domiciliary Care Agency Address: Unit 2A Scaffog Retail Park, 134 Sligo Road, Enniskillen, BT74 7JY Telephone number: 028 6772 4700

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

Information on legislation and standards underpinning inspections can be found on our website https://www.rqia.org.uk/

1.0	Service information		
Orga	nisation/Registered Provider:	Registered Manager:	
Positive Futures		Mrs Joanne Grimes	

Responsible Individual:	Date registered:	
Ms Agnes Philomena Lunny	Acting	

Person in charge at the time of inspection: Service Manager

Brief description of the accommodation/how the service operates:

Positive Futures Lakeland Supported Living Service is a domiciliary care agency which provides a range of supported living services, housing support and personal care services to individuals living in the Lisnaskea and Enniskillen area.

2.0 Inspection summary

An unannounced inspection took place on 8 June 2023 between 10.00 a.m. and 4.10 p.m. The inspection was conducted by two care inspectors.

The inspection examined the agency's governance and management arrangements, reviewing areas such as staff recruitment, professional registrations, staff induction and training and adult safeguarding. The reporting and recording of accidents and incidents, complaints, whistleblowing, Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), service user involvement, restrictive practices and dysphagia management was also reviewed.

No areas for improvement were identified during this inspection.

All service users spoken with indicated that they were very happy with the care and support provided by the staff.

Evidence of good practice was found in relation to communication between service users and agency staff and other key stakeholders; staff training; and the monitoring of staffs' registration with the Northern Ireland Social Care Council (NISCC). There were good governance and management arrangements in place.

Positive Futures Lakeland Supported Living Service uses the term 'people who we support' to describe the people to whom they provide care and support. For the purposes of the inspection report, the term 'service user' is used, in keeping with the relevant regulations.

We would like to thank the management team, service users and staff for their support and co-operation throughout the inspection process.

3.0 How we inspect

RQIA's inspections form part of our ongoing assessment of the quality of services. Our reports reflect how they were performing at the time of our inspection, highlighting both good practice and any areas for improvement. It is the responsibility of the service provider to ensure compliance with legislation, standards and best practice, and to address any deficits identified during our inspections.

In preparation for this inspection, a range of information about the service was reviewed. This included any previous areas for improvement identified, registration information, and any other written or verbal information received from service users, relatives, staff or the Commissioning Trust.

As a public-sector body, RQIA has a duty to respect, protect and fulfil the rights that people have under the Human Rights Act 1998 when carrying out our functions. In our inspections of domiciliary care agencies, we are committed to ensuring that the rights of people who receive services are protected. This means we will seek assurances from providers that they take all reasonable steps to promote people's rights. Users of domiciliary care services have the right to expect their dignity and privacy to be respected and to have their independence and autonomy promoted. They should also experience the individual choices and freedoms associated with any person living in their own home.

Having reviewed the model "We Matter" Adult Learning Disability Model for NI 2020, the Vision states, 'We want individuals with a learning disability to be respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in their community'.

RQIA shares this vision and want to review the support individuals are offered to make choices and decisions in their life that enable them to develop and to live a safe, active and valued life. RQIA will review how service users who have a learning disability are respected and empowered to lead a full and healthy life in the community and are supported to make choices and decisions that enables them to develop and live safe, active and valued lives.

Information was provided to service users, relatives, staff and other stakeholders on how they could provide feedback on the quality of services. This included easy read questionnaires and an electronic staff survey.

4.0 What did people tell us about the service?

During the inspection we spoke with a number of service users and staff members.

The information provided indicated that there were no concerns in relation to the agency.

Comments received included:

Service users' comments:

- "Staff are good to me and if I had a problem I could talk to staff."
- "Staff do not come into my home, they always ring the doorbell and wait for me to open the door."
- "I like where I am living. I recently decorated my bedroom and I chose the colours and what I wanted in my bedroom."
- "All is good here. I am very happy with everything."

Staff comments:

- "I am very well supported in my role. The manager is very supportive and always available to listen."
- "Positive Futures offers very good training which is very beneficial to our role. The training provides us with the skill and knowledge to provide a high standard of care and support. I have completed all the mandatory training including DoLS training."
- "I got an induction when I started with the agency, it was very good and part of that was shadowing staff."
- "The persons we support are our priority and they are involved in all the decisions affecting their lives."

During the inspection we provided a number of easy read questionnaires for those supported to comment on the following areas of service quality and their lived experiences:



- Do you feel your care is safe?
- Is the care and support you get effective?
- > Do you feel staff treat you with compassion?
- > How do you feel your care is managed?

No service users or staff returned questionnaires prior to the issue of this report.

5.0 The inspection

5.1 What has this service done to meet any areas for improvement identified at or since the last inspection?

The last care inspection of the agency was undertaken on 23 May 2022 by a care inspector. No areas for improvement were identified.

5.2 Inspection findings

5.2.1 What are the systems in place for identifying and addressing risks?

The agency's provision for the welfare, care and protection of service users was reviewed. The organisation's adult safeguarding policy and procedures were reflective of the Department of Health's (DoH) regional policy and clearly outlined the procedure for staff in reporting concerns. The organisation had an identified Adult Safeguarding Champion (ASC). The agency's annual Adult Safeguarding Position report was reviewed and found to be satisfactory.

Discussions with the person in charge established that they were knowledgeable in matters relating to adult safeguarding, the role of the ASC and the process for reporting and managing adult safeguarding concerns.

Staff were required to complete adult safeguarding training during induction and every two years thereafter. Staff who spoke with the inspector had a clear understanding of their responsibility in identifying and reporting any actual or suspected incidences of abuse and the process for reporting concerns in normal business hours and out of hours. They could also describe their role in relation to reporting poor practice and their understanding of the agency's policy and procedure with regard to whistleblowing.

The agency retained records of any referrals made to the HSC Trust in relation to adult safeguarding. A review of records confirmed that these had been managed appropriately.

Service users said they had no concerns regarding their safety; they described how they could speak to staff if they had any concerns about safety or the care being provided. The agency had provided service users with information about keeping themselves safe and the details of the process for reporting any concerns.

Discussion with the person in charge confirmed that there were systems in place to ensure that notifiable events were investigated and reported to RQIA or other relevant bodies appropriately.

Staff consulted with spoke positively about the training they receive and confirmed that they received sufficient training to enable them to fulfil the duties and responsibilities of their role and that training was of a good standard. Review of a sample of staff training records concluded staff had received mandatory and other training relevant to their roles and responsibilities since the previous care inspection such as adult safeguarding, first aid and moving and handling. It was positive to note that the agency provided training in regard to autism and epilepsy.

Where service users required the use of specialised equipment to assist them with moving, this was included within the agency's mandatory training programme. A review of care records identified that moving and handling risk assessments and care plans were up to date.

Care reviews had been undertaken in keeping with the agency's policies and procedures.

All staff had been provided with training in relation to medicines management. The person in charge advised that no service users required their medicine to be administered with a syringe. The person in charge was aware that should this be required, a competency assessment would be undertaken before staff undertook this task.

The Mental Capacity Act (MCA)(2016) provides a legal framework for making decisions on behalf of service users who may lack the mental capacity to do so for themselves.

The MCA requires that, as far as possible, service users make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When service users lack mental capacity to take particular decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible. Staff who spoke with the inspector demonstrated their understanding that service users who lack capacity to make decisions about aspects of their care and treatment have rights as outlined in the MCA.

Staff had completed DoLS training appropriate to their job roles. The person in charge reported that none of the service users were subject to DoLS.

Restrictive practice agreements were in place and were reviewed on a regular basis.

There was a system in place for notifying RQIA if the agency was managing individual service users' monies in accordance with the guidance.

5.2.2 What are the arrangements for promoting service user involvement?

From reviewing service users' care records and through discussions with service users, it was good to note that service users had an input into devising their own plan of care. The service users' care plans contained details about their likes and dislikes and the level of support they may require. Care and support plans are kept under regular review and services users and /or their relatives participate, where appropriate, in the review of the care provided on an annual basis, or when changes occur.

The review of the care records identified that the agency focused on the service users' human rights. It was positive to note the service users' consent was sought and that they had a choice in relation to whether or not they wanted the staff to administer their medicines, their photograph to be used in media releases and RQIA inspectors to have access to their records.

Service users were provided with easy read reports which supported them to fully participate in all aspects of their care. The Service Information Handbook, Complaints Procedure and the Service User Agreement were also available in easy read format.

5.2.3 What are the systems in place for identifying service users' Dysphagia needs in partnership with the Speech and Language Therapist (SALT)?

New standards for thickening food and fluids were introduced in August 2018. This was called the International Dysphagia Diet Standardisation Initiative (IDDSI). A number of service users were assessed by SALT with recommendations provided and some required their food and fluids to be of a specific consistency. A review of training records confirmed that staff had completed training in Dysphagia and in relation to how to respond to choking incidents.

Discussions with staff and review of service users' care records reflected the multi-disciplinary input and the collaborative working undertaken to ensure service users' health and social care needs were met within the agency. There was evidence that staff made referrals to the multi-disciplinary team and these interventions were proactive, timely and appropriate.

Staff also implemented the specific recommendations of the SALT to ensure the care received in the setting was safe and effective.

Staff demonstrated a good knowledge of service users' wishes, preferences and assessed needs. These were recorded within care plans along with associated SALT dietary requirements. Staff were familiar with how food and fluids should be modified.

5.2.4 What systems are in place for staff recruitment and are they robust?

A review of the agency's staff recruitment records confirmed that all pre-employment checks, including criminal record checks (AccessNI), were completed and verified before staff members commenced employment and had direct engagement with service users. Checks were made to ensure that staff were appropriately registered with NISCC; there was a system in place for professional registrations to be monitored by the manager. Staff spoken with confirmed that they were aware of their responsibilities to keep their registrations up to date.

There were no volunteers working in the agency.

5.2.5 What are the arrangements for staff induction and are they in accordance with NISCC Induction Standards for social care staff?

There was evidence that all newly appointed staff had completed a structured orientation and induction, having regard to NISCC's Induction Standards for new workers in social care, to ensure they were competent to carry out the duties of their job in line with the agency's policies and procedures. There was a robust, structured, three day induction programme which also included shadowing of a more experienced staff member.

The agency has maintained a record for each member of staff of all training, including induction and professional development activities undertaken.

5.2.6 What are the arrangements to ensure robust managerial oversight and governance?

There were monitoring arrangements in place in compliance with Regulations and Standards. A review of the reports of the agency's quality monitoring established that there was engagement with service users, service users' relatives, staff and HSC Trust representatives. The reports included details of a review of service user care records; accident/incidents; safeguarding matters; staff recruitment and training, and staffing arrangements.

The Annual Quality Report was reviewed and was satisfactory.

No incidents had occurred that required investigation under the Serious Adverse Incidents (SAIs).

The agency's registration certificate was up to date and displayed appropriately along with current certificates of public and employers' liability insurance.

There was a system in place to ensure that complaints were managed in accordance with the agency's policy and procedure. Records reviewed and discussion with the manager indicated that no complaints had been made since last inspection. Discussion with staff confirmed that they knew how to receive and respond to complaints sensitively and were aware of their responsibility to report all complaints to the manager or the person in charge.

Discussions with staff confirmed that systems were in place to monitor staff performance and ensure that staff received support and guidance. This included the availability of continuous update training alongside supervision/appraisal processes, an open door policy for discussions with the management team and observation of staff practice.

We discussed the acting management arrangements which have been ongoing since August 2021; it was agreed that the manager will submit an application to RQIA for registration as manager.

6.0 Quality Improvement Plan (QIP)/Areas for Improvement

This inspection resulted in no areas for improvement being identified. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Mrs Joanne Grimes, Manager, and the Service Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.





The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority James House 2-4 Cromac Avenue Gasworks Belfast BT7 2JA

Tel028 9536 1111Emailinfo@rqia.org.ukWebwww.rqia.org.ukImage: Comparison of the state of t

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care